

**From:** Ty Hedrick  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/23/02 11:47am  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

Dear Sirs,

Summary: The proposed settlement is too favorable to Microsoft, much stronger measures are required to keep the company from abusing its monopoly.

I believe this settlement is counter to the interests of the American public, deleterious to the American economy, and not adequate given the findings of fact in the trial.

Microsoft's anti-competitive practices are counter to the law and spirit of our free-enterprise system. These practices inhibit competition, reduce innovation, and thereby decrease employment and productivity in our nation.

Microsoft's monopolistic practices cause the public to bear increased costs and deny them the products of the innovation which would otherwise be stimulated through competition.

The finding of fact which confirmed that Microsoft is a monopoly requires strict measures which address not only the practices they have engaged in in the past, but which also prevent them from engaging in other monopolistic practices in the future.

It is my belief that a very strong set of strictures must be placed on convicted monopolists to insure that they are unable to continue their illegal activities. I do not think that the proposed settlement is strong enough to serve this function.

Sincerely,  
Ty Hedrick

-----  
Tyson L. Hedrick           ph: (781) 275 1725 x17  
Concord Field Station  
Harvard University  
Old Causeway Road  
Bedford, MA 01730